

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,976	06/29/2001 .	Ik Soo kim	8733.437.00	6152
30827 75	590 05/16/2005		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			KIELIN, ERIK J	
1900 K STREE WASHINGTO	•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
***************************************	.,, 20 2000		2813	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		H.D
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/893,976	KIM, IK SOO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Erik Kielin	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MG will, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>03 <i>February</i> 2005</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9-11 and 13-20</u> is/ard	e pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9-11 and 13-20</u> is/ar	e rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are			
Applicant may not request that any obje	- · ·		40471)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to			
•	o by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form F10-13	72.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
·	documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have bee	Application No	e
• •	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	at received	
* See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)	·		
1) $igotimes$ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) $igodius$ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
-4	- المارة على المارة ع	 -	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3 February 2005 has been entered.

Specification

2. The amendment filed 3 February 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

"The entirety of the protrusions of the source and drain electrodes is formed within the area of the active layer 44, as shown in Figs. 4 and 6C."

Because the drain electrode 40 in Fig. 4 is shown to have two protrusions extending from therefrom and the uppermost protrusion shown in Fig. 4 is not formed entirely on the semiconductor active region, this amounts to new matter. Note that there exists nothing in the original specification addressing the extent of the drain electrode 40 or that the entirety of the protrusions of the source/drain electrodes is formed entirely within the area of the semiconductor layer 44, 46.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claims 1 and 11, lines 8, 17, 18, and 19 of each, replace "the protrusion" with --the at least one protrusion-- for clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, First Paragraph

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 10, and 11, 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Each of independent claims 1 and 11 recites the limitation that "the entirety of the protrusions of the source and drain electrodes is formed within an area of the semiconductor layer." As indicated above in the new matter objection to the specification, there fails to exists support in the four corners of the disclosure for this feature as it is directly contradicted by Fig. 4, wherein the drain electrode is shown to have two protrusions the upper of which is not formed entirely on the semiconductor. Should Applicant argues that the upper portion is not a protrusion, then Applicant must provide **evidence** that it is not a protrusion. In the specification, the entirety of the feature labeled with reference character 40 is simply referred to as the "drain electrode."

Art Unit: 2813

Page 4

Applicant cannot therefore now argue --absent evidence to the contrary-- that only one of the protrusions (e.g. the lower protrusion of 40) shown in Fig. 4 is actually a protrusion while the other (e.g. the upper protrusion of 40) is not a protrusion --especially since there exists absolutely no discussion of this feature in the specification. Nor, as noted above, does there exist any discussion of the location of the electrode protrusions relative to the semiconductor layer, much less the criticality of such a feature.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 7, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - Claim 7 depends from canceled claim 2 and is therefore indefinite.
 - Claims 17 and 18 depend from canceled claim 12 and are therefore indefinite.

For the purposes of patentability, the claim 7 will be interpreted as depending from claim 1 and claims 17 and 18 will be interpreted depending fro claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claims 1, 9 and 11, 19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,545,291 B1 (Amunson et al.) considered with US 5,710,606 (Nakajima et al.) for a showing of inherency only.

Regarding independent claims 1 and 11, **Amunson** discloses a liquid crystal display device (col. 1, lines 14-29) and method of manufacturing the device comprising forming each of the following:

a gate electrode 110 on a substrate (Figs. 4A-4B);

a gate insulating film 140 on the substrate and over the gate electrode 110;

a semiconductor layer 150 on the gate insulating film 140 and over the gate electrode 6, the channel has and "Z"-shape, as shown in Fig. 4A;

a source electrode 130 (called "drain electrode" in Amunson; see "NOTE" below) and a drain electrode 120 (called a "source electrode" in Amunson; see "NOTE" below) on the semiconductor layer 150 and adjacent the gate electrode 110, wherein the source 130 and drain 120 electrodes oppose each other and each includes at least one protrusion that extends toward the opposing electrode, and the protrusion of the source electrode 130 extends directly from a data line 330 and is therefore in electrical communication therewith --as further limited by instant claim 10;

a protective layer 150, 170 on the gate insulating film 110 and over the source and drain electrodes 130, 120;

Art Unit: 2813

a pixel electrode 320 on the protective layer 170, the pixel electrode 320 (called "display electrode" in Amundson) electrically connected to the drain electrode 120 via a contact hole formed in the protective layer 170, a shown in Fig. 4B --as further limited by instant claims 9, 19, and 20--; and

wherein (1) an entire area of the "Z"-shaped channel is formed only over the gate electrode 110, as shown in Figs. 4A-4B --as further limited by instant claim 18-- and wherein (2) the gate electrode 110 underlies a part of the data line 330, the source electrode 130 and a part of the drain electrode 120 so that the "Z"-shaped channel is formed at parts of the source and drain electrodes facing the protrusion, and wherein (3) the entirety of the protrusions of the source and drain electrodes is formed within the area of the semiconductor layer a shown in Fig. 4B, and wherein (4) the protrusion of the source electrode 130 is parallel to and offset from the protrusion of the drain electrode 120, as shown in Figs. 4A-4B.

(See col. 1, line 66 to col. 12, line 39.)

NOTE: Nakajima teaches that the source and drains of thin film transistors in LCDs (Title) are equivalent, stating in pertinent part,

"The term of 'source/drain region' described means either one or both of a source region and a drain region. This term is used because both of these two regions 5 and 5 are substantially equivalent to each other, and the source and drain regions alternately exchange their functions with each other at a high frequency, in several types of devices." (See Nakajima, col. 6, lines 14-19.)

Accordingly, the source and drain electrodes of **Amundson** are inherently equivalent and the names are therefore reversible.

Regarding claims 7 and 17, **Amundson** discloses that standard channel length (the distance between the source and drain electrode, equivalent to that indicated in the instant

Art Unit: 2813

specification) is from 10 μm to hundreds of μm (col. 10, lines, 34-37). **Amundson** also states, and in accordance with the instant specification, that the channel width runs the distance of the zig-zap or "Z"-shaped channel (Amundson, Fig. 2B; instant specification, Figs. 2 and 5). Accordingly, the channel width is necessarily greater than 50 μm when the channel length is hundreds of μm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 10 and 11, 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (APA) in view of Amundson.

Regarding claims 1 and 11, APA discloses a liquid crystal display device and method of manufacturing the device comprising forming each of the following:

- a gate electrode 6 on a substrate 1;
- a gate insulating film 12 on the substrate 1 and over the gate electrode 6;
- a semiconductor layer 14 on the gate insulating film 12 and over the gate electrode 6;
- a source electrode 8 and a drain electrode 10 on the semiconductor layer 14 and adjacent the gate electrode 6, wherein the source and drain electrodes oppose each other and each includes at least one protrusion that extends toward the opposing electrode (the adjacent edges of the source and drain electrodes are form one protrusion, as shown in Fig. 3C --especially in the

cross-section) and the protrusion extends directly from a data line and wherein an entire area of the channel is formed over the gate electrode;

a protective layer 18 on the gate insulating film 12 and over the source and drain electrodes 8, 10;

a pixel electrode 22 on the protective layer 18; and

wherein the gate electrode 6 underlies a part of the data line 4, the source electrode 8 and the drain electrode 10 and a part of the drain electrode so that the channel is formed at parts of the source and drain electrodes facing the protrusion, the entirety of the protrusions of the source and drain electrodes is formed within the area of the semiconductor layer, and the protrusion of the source electrode is offset from the protrusion of the drain electrode, as shown in prior art Fig. 3C cross-section view. (Note: the adjacent edges of the source and drain electrodes form "at least one protrusion," as shown in prior art Fig. 3C --especially in the cross-section-and that the protrusions are necessarily "offset" in order to form the channel.)

(See instant specification, paragraphs [0003]-[0013] and Figs. 1 through 3E.)

APA does not teach that the transistor has a channel having a "Z"-shape with the width being greater than 50 µm generated by the source and drain electrodes having a plurality of protrusions extending toward each other that are parallel and offset from each other.

Amundson teaches the benefits of improving transistor performance for displays, in general, including liquid crystal displays, wherein the source and drain electrodes 130, 120 each include plural protrusions (Fig. 4A) that extend toward the opposing electrode and are parallel and offset from each other, in order to beneficially increase the channel width of the transistor to greater than 50 µm --as further limited by instant claims 7 and 17-- resulting in a "Z"-shaped

channel having an entire area of the channel formed over the gate electrode 110 and over the semiconductor layer 150. (See rejection in paragraph 9 of this Office Action.)

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to use the transistor configuration of **Amundson** as the transistor in **APA**, wherein the source and drain electrodes have protrusions extending toward each other in a parallel and offset manner so as to form a "Z"-shaped channel, in order to beneficially increase the channel width of the transistor, which enables reduction of the TFT size and "maximizes the aperture ratio," as taught by **Amundson** (Abstract).

Regarding claims 3 and 13, APA discloses the active layer 14 on the gate insulating film 12; and the ohmic contact layer 16 on the active layer 14.

Regarding claims 4 and 14, **APA** discloses that the ohmic contact layer **16** contains an opening corresponding to the channel **24** (Fig. 3C; paragraph [0009] --especially the last two sentences), but does not teach that the channel is "Z"-shaped.

Amundson shows that the channel is "Z"-shaped.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to use a "Z"-shaped channel as the channel of APA to increase the channel width as taught by Amundson.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 15, and 16, APA discloses that the active layer is undoped silicon and the ohmic contact layer is doped silicon (instant specification, p. 4, paragraph [0008]).

Regarding claim 18, APA and Amundson each disclose that the channel extends only over the gate electrode 6, 110.

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding claims 9 and 19, APA and Amundson each disclose that the pixel electrode 22, 320 contacts the drain electrode 10, 120 through an opening 20 in the protective layer 18, 170 (Fig. 3E).

Regarding claim 10, APA and Amundson each disclose that the data line 4, 330 is in electrical communication with the source electrode 8, 130 (Fig. 3E).

Regarding claim 20, APA and Amundson each disclose that the pixel electrode 22, 320 is in electrical communication with the drain electrode 10, 120.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to all pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 6,239,468 B1 (Chang et al.) assigned to the same assignee as the instant Applicant, LG. Phillips LCD Co. Ltd., discloses the same transistor having the "Z"-shaped channel (cover Fig.) as presently disclosed and claimed but was not provided to the Office by Applicant.

US 5,150,181 (Takeda et al.; Fig. 1B; col. 1, lines 6-30), US 5,576,555 (Yamanobe et al.; Figs. 1B, 4B), and US 5,705,411 (Yamanobe et al.; Figs. 1B, 4B) each discloses a transistor for an LCD having a "Z"-shaped channel resulting from source/drain electrodes having

protrusions that extend toward each other and the entirety of the protrusions is formed over the semiconductor active layer.

Re. 33,829 (Castleberry) discloses a transistor for an LCD having a "Z"-shaped channel resulting from source/drain electrodes having protrusions that extend toward each other.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erik Kielin whose telephone number is 571-272-1693. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 - 19:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

May 12, 2005